

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,391	01 10/21/2003		Jesus Al Ortiz	020843-000110US	8652	
20350	7590	08/25/2005		EXAMINER		
TOWNSENI TWO EMBAI		OWNSEND A	CHANG, R	CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
EIGHTH FLO		O OBIVIDIO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANC	ISCO, C	A 94111-3834	3729			

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sp					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•				
	10/691,391	ORTIZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	Rick K. Chang	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	-				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>ıly 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 18-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers		1					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date of record.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 7/27/05 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al (US 4,846,724) in view of Gabower et al (US 6,624,432), and further in view of Wilson (US 5,966,803).

Sasaki discloses 21 are within 27 and 37; 39 is a metallized shielding layer; 23 is a connector connected to 21 and 39 via 31 and 32 and can be coupled to a grounded housing; 41 is

an insulating top coating and extends to a point short of 23 such that 23 is electrically coupled to 39 as well as 23 is coupled to 41 (an outsize surface of a nonconductive connector); 31 and 32 are spaced protrusions, except for vacuum metalizing the shielding layer with thickness between approx. one-half to twelve microns and providing a metallized thermoform connector.

Gabower discloses a metallized therofrom connector (Abstract, lines 2-3).

Wilson discloses vacuum metalizing circuit (col. 2, lines 56-57) with thickness between approx. one-half to twelve microns (col. 3, lines 27-28).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gabower by vacuum metalizing the shielding layer and providing a metallized therofrom connector, as taught by Gabower and Wilson, for the purpose of EMI containment and providing fine conductors.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the

Art Unit: 3729

application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC August 22, 2005